



भारत सरकार/Government of India
खान मंत्रालय/Ministry of Mines
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो/Indian Bureau of Mines
हैदराबाद क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय/Hyderabad Regional Office



No. AP/NLG/MP/Lst-22/Hyd

C.G.O.Towers, 6th floor,
Kavadiiguda,
Secunderabad - 500 080
Date:

To
Shri n.Sujith Kumar Reddy
Nominated Owner,
M/S.Rain Cements Limited,
34, Rain Center, Srinagar Colony,
Hyderabad-500 073, Telangana.

Sub: Submission of Modified Review of Mining Plan in respect of Rain Limestone mine (417.95 ha) located in Mellacheruvu Village of Mellacheruvu Mandal in Suryapet district of Andhra Pradesh submitted under Rule 17(3) of MCR, 2016.

Ref: Your letter No.RCL/W:U:MIN-03/18., dated 24.03.2018.


Sir,

01. With reference to your letter cited above on the subject, on table scrutiny certain deficiencies were found as given in Annexure. The same scrutiny comments have already been forwarded on e mail id of your Qualified Person as submitted in the document balanagaiah@privacemnt.com srinivasareddy@privacemnt.com and jagannadhonline@gmail.com

02.You are advised to attend the deficiencies as per the annexure and resubmit the document, complete in all respects, in three bound copies along with soft copy in the form of CD (2Nos.). In this regard you are directed to submit the Financial Assurance in the form of Bank Guarantee for the area put on use for Mining and allied activities @ RsThree lakhs/hectare for category 'A' mines provided that the minimum amount shall be Rs.Ten lakhs as per the provision of Rule 27(1) of MCDR, 2017 at the time of submission of final copies of the document within 15 (fifteen) days from the date of issue of this letter, failing which the document will be disposed without giving any further opportunity.

03. The para-wise clarification & the manner in which the deficiencies are attended should be given while forwarding modified document.


Yours faithfully,


O/C (Pankaj Kishore Reddy)
Controller of Mines

Copy to Sri P Srinivasa Reddy, Qualified Person and Jagannadha Reddy, Qualified Person.


O/C (Pankaj Kishore Reddy)
Controller of Mines

मूल प्रति पर नहीं
खान निबंधक (व), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, हैदराबाद।


O/C (Pankaj Kishore Reddy)
खान निबंधक

Draft Scrutiny comments on Rain Limestone Mine of M/s Rain Cements Limited over an extent of 417.95 ha in Revoor & Mellacheruvu Village, Suryapet district, Telangana State.

1. On the cover page the proposal period is given as 1/10/2017 to 27/09/2021. It should be corrected as 1/4/2018 to 31/3/2022
2. While submitting the information under review, data up to March 2018 need to be incorporated, instead of up to October 2017 as given in the draft document. Accordingly corrections need to be made at all places in text and plans. Plates submitted for 2017-18 need to be omitted / removed from the final copies.
3. Details of lease extension subsequent to the MMDR Amendment Act 2015 need to be incorporated. Accordingly proposals to be revised for the remaining plan period and updated plans and sections to be incorporated.
4. In para 3.3.3 on page no.9, it was mentioned that the proposed production in scheme of mining, whereas it should be Review of Mining Plan and the proposal should be furnished for period 1/4/2018 to 31/3/2022 and actual achievement up to March 2018 in review paras. Also furnish the proposed production figures year-wise for the remaining plan period.
5. In para 3.4, details of earlier violations issued and their compliance status to be furnished.
6. After table 3.3 information pertaining to cut-off grade to be furnished.
7. Area covered under G1, G2, G3, G4 level of exploration to be specified in the text part on page no.28.
8. As per the rule 12(4) of MCDR, 2017, in the case of existing mining leases detailed exploration (G1 level) over the entire potentially mineralised area under the mining lease shall be carried out within a period of five years from the date of commencement of these rules, accordingly proposals to be made for carrying out the same.
9. In para 2(b) i.e. under Mining chapter on page no.33 it was mentioned that proposal is from 1/10/2017, whereas the first year of proposed period has expired and proposals should be made for only subsequent years. Accordingly, delete the proposal for 2017-18 and give proposals starting from 2018-19 onwards only.
10. Conceptual planning up to end of the lease period subsequent to the extension of lease to be furnished.
11. The figures given in the column total tonnage under table for year wise proposal on page no.33 are not matching with the figures of ROM given in Cubic meters under table insitu tentative excavation on page no.34. These figures need to be rectified.
12. A copy of the permission letter obtained for using HEMM to be enclosed as annexure.
13. A note on the capacity of the plant vis-à-vis the production and reserve/resource for feeding the plant to be provided. So as to enable proper planning and excavation of the mineral. The proposals should be in accordance with the installed capacity of the plant.
14. Under para 4.0 i.e. Stacking of mineral rejects/sub grade material and disposal of waste, the following table as per format is missing, this needs to be incorporated.

Year	Topsoil(Cum)	Storage	Mineral Rejects(Cum)	Storage	Blending	Beneficiari
	Reuse/sprea ding					On

15. In the plate no.7 i.e. Environment plan few more points pertaining to Ambient Air Quality/Noise Quality/Soil Quality/Water quality need to be marked at various strategic locations in core zone other than those already marked.
16. In Plate No.9 i.e. Progressive Mine closure plan, the area covered under railway track going through the Eastern part of the Mining Lease has not been considered for area put to use. This should be incorporated and changes may be made at all relevant places in text and plans.
17. In plate no.10 i.e. Reclamation plan, some area on the western part of pit-1 was shown as reclaimed, specify the extent, method of reclamation and year of reclamation in both text and plan.
18. The financial assurance need to be cotermious with the proposed plan period i.e. 31/3/2022.
19. In all plans, certification has to be made stating that these plans have been prepared based on the lease sketch authenticated by the ADMG, Department of Mines & Geology, Government of Telangana.
20. The Progressive Mine Closure Plan to be renamed as Financial Assurance Plan.
21. It is observed from plate no.4 i.e. Geological Plan, that few drill holes such as C/D/5,C/08/25,C/00/20,C/06/46,C/D/4 & C/D/3 are falling outside the lease area and as such cognizance of the same should not be taken for calculation of the Reserves/Resources. Accordingly these are to be reviewed.
22. In the same plan i.e. Geological plan, few more core bore holes are required to cover the upgrade the area from G3 scale to G1 scale on the Southern part of the lease area marked under G3 scale between gridlines W50C to E500.
23. In Plate No.5A i.e. Geological cross sections, following sections are not matching with the Geological Plan given as plate no.4-
- Section ML 12-12' is not matching, as the lithology shown on plan and section varies, nala has not been marked along with safety barrier zone. This needs to be corrected.
 - In section ML 14-14' existing pit was not shown on the section and lithology is not matching.
 - In section ML 22-22' & ML 24-24' railway track need to be marked and accordingly safety barrier zone to be marked.
24. In Plate No.5B i.e. Geological cross sections, following sections are not matching with the Geological Plan given as plate no.4
- In section ML 06-06' Influence of Borehole C/6/46 not to be considered for calculation of reserves/resources as it is falling outside the lease.

25. In both plates i.e. 5A & 5B i.e. Geological sections, 7.5 meter barrier zone to be marked on all sections.



